UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

Southern District of Texas
FILED

MAR 3 1 2005

Pat Barlow S Michael N. Milby, Clerk Plaintiff, § Civ 1 0 5 - 1121 Ş Ş v. S Wal-Mart Inc., Sam's Ş Club, Weider Nutrition § Plaintiff Demands Ş Trial by Jury Group Defendants.

ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

JURISDICTIONAL STATEMENT

1. This Court has jurisdiction of the subject matter of the within action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 1332, as the action is between citizens of different states and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000, exclusive of interests and costs.

PARTIES

- Plaintiff is a citizen of the State of Texas. At the time of this incident Plaintiff resided in Montgomery County.
- 3. Defendant Weider Nutrition Group is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of

Utah with its principal place of business at 2002 South 5070 West, Salt Lake City, Utah 84104-4726 and is the manufacturer of a Weider Glucosamine Chondroitin.

- 4. Defendant Wal-Mart Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Arkansas with its principal place of business at 702 S. W. 8th Street, Bentonville, AK 72716 and its Registered Agent The Corporation Company 425 W. Capitol Avenue Suite 1700, Little Rock, AK 72201 and is the seller/distributor of Weider Glucosamine Chondroitin.
- 5. Defendant Sam's Club is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Arkansas with its principal place of business at 702 S.W. 8th Street, Bentonville, AK 72716 and its Registered Agent The Corporation Company 425 W. Capitol Avenue, Suite 1700, Little Rock, AK 72201 and is the seller/distributor of Weider Glucosamine Chondroitin.

COUNT I

6. On or about March, 2003 plaintiff purchased a bottle of Weider Glucosamine Chondroitin from Sam's Club in Conroe, Texas.

- 7. Subsequently, Plaintiff took the dosage prescribed on the bottle.
- 8. Plaintiff was injured as a result of taking the supplement in that she suffered anaphylactic distress.
- 9. Defendant manufacturer was negligent and breached a duty of care owed to Plaintiff in the manufacture of its supplement by, inter alia:
 - (a) failing to provide any warnings to individuals who are allergic to the constituents of defendant's product and for the protection of persons in a position similar to that of Plaintiff including, but not limited to, the failure to provide any warning or cautionary instruction label on the product bottle so that individuals such as plaintiff would be adequately warned of the consequence from taking defendant's product if they are allergic to certain constituents of the supplement
- 10. As a result of the Defendant's negligence, Plaintiff suffered with severe allergic reaction for which she was hospitalized, and continue to suffer adverse effects resulting from her encounter with Defendant's product.
- 11. The acts complained of herein were reasonably foreseeable by Defendant as the manufacturer of the supplement.

12. As a direct and proximate result of the acts complained of herein, Plaintiff suffered great injuries and damages including, but not limited to, physical and emotional injuries, costs for treating such injuries, loss of earnings capacity, pain and suffering, and other consequential damages.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendant in an amount, which exceeds the jurisdictional requirements of this Court plus interest and costs.

COUNT II

- 13. Paragraph 1 through 12 are incorporated herein as if set forth again in full.
- 14. Sometime prior to March, 2003, Defendant Weider manufactured supplement, and placed it into the stream of commerce.
- 15. Defendant's Wal-Mart and Sam's Club were seller's engaged in the business of selling products such as the supplement.
- 16. The supplement was sold without a warning label on the bottle, which made it unreasonably dangerous to persons such as Plaintiff, who could reasonably have been

- expected to come into contact with, use or be affected by the supplement.
- 17. The supplement was expected to, and did, reach Plaintiff without material modification to its label noting cautionary usage.
- 18. The Defendant created a substantial risk of harm for those reasonably expectd to be affected by the supplement, including Plaintiff, as a result of the lack of warning.
- 19. Prior to sustaining her injuries, Plaintiff neither knew nor should have known of any problem with the supplement.
- 20. As a direct and proximate result of the lack of warning on the supplement, and the breaches complained of herein, Plaintiff suffered grave injuries and damages including, but not limited to, physical and emotional injuries, costs for treating such injuries, loss of earning capacity, pain and suffering, and other consequential damages.

COUNT III

- 21. Paragraphs 1-20 are incorporated herein as if set forth again in full.
- 22. Defendants owed a duty to exercise reasonable care in all aspects concerning the design, manufacture, marketing,

- testing, distribution and/or selling of the subject laxatives and their incorporated component ingredients.
- 23. Defendants were negligent and grossly negligent and breached duties owed to Plaintiff by the conduct alleged and with respect to the supplement made the subject of this lawsuit.
- 24. Accordingly, Defendants are jointly and severally liable to Plaintiff for all damages claimed including punitive damages as a result of Defendant's gross negligence.

COUNT IV

- 25. Paragraphs 1-24 are incorporated herein as if set forth again in full.
- 26. All Defendant's are liable to Plaintiff for breaching their duty to warn Plaintiff of adverse health effects and complications associated with the use of subject supplement.
- 27. Each Defendant was involved in the manufacturing, designing, testing, marketing, distribution, and/or selling of Plaintiffs' subject drug. Accordingly, each Defendant was involved in creating the risks associated with the subject drugs.

- 28. Each Defendant knew, should have known, or should have discovered risks associated with the subject drugs that were the result of their design, testing, use and/or manufacturing.
- 29. Each Defendant has a continuing duty to warn Plaintiff.

 Each Defendant breached this duty.
- 30. Defendants joint and several breach of this duty is the proximate cause of Plaintiff's injuries.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendant in an amount, which exceeds the jurisdictional requirements of this Court, plus interest and costs.

Respectfully submitted,

The Hankins Law Firm, PLLC

Grover J. Hankins

Grover G. Hankins

Texas Bar No. 00795895

616 W. Main Street

League City, TX 77573

281-316-9551

281-316-9552 fax

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that the above and foregoing Original Complaint was hereby filed and served on this 31st day of March, 2005 to all counsel of record.

Grover G. Hankins

Howen G. Hankins

Case 4:05-cv-01121 COVIDER SHEAR 1.05 in TXSD Page 9 of 9

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating

the civil docket sheet. (SEE INS	STRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)			
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		DEFENDANTS	7 . (.) ()	. 1.
Pat Barlo	iw	Wal-mart Inc., Scan's Club, Weider nutrition Group		
	Manta			1/1
(b) County of Residence of		County of Residence o	f First Listed Defendant	\sim $/$ //
(E)	(CEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)		(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES C	• • •
			O CONDEMNATION CASES, US NVOLVED.	
	T.	Aorneys (I Know	2 1 Southern Distr	es Courts ict of Tayse
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name,	Address, and Telephone Number)	A orneys (Inknown	FILE FILE	D
GROVER H	oul!us 616 w. mainteag			2005
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff Van Clark Box for Defendant)
1 U.S. Government	☐ 3 Federal Question	17	ÇF DEF	PTF DEF
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government Not a Party)	Citizen of This State	1	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2 Incorporated and P of Business In A	
	(mulcate Chizenship of Parties in Rein 117)		3 🗖 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Discount Wind One Box Only)	Foreign Country		
CONTRACT	TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJUR		☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	400 State Reapportionment
☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 362 Personal Injury ☐ 315 Airplane Product ☐ Med. Malpractice	1_	☐ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking
140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability 365 Personal Injury	of Property 21 USC 881	PROPERTY DICHTS	450 Commerce
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	320 Assault, Libel & Product Liability Slander	630 Liquor Laws al 640 R.R. & Truck	PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights	☐ 460 Deportation ☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and
☐ 151 Medicare Act	☐ 330 Federal Employers' Injury Product	650 Airline Regs.	☐ 830 Patent	Corrupt Organizations
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability Liability 340 Marine PERSONAL PROPER	TY 660 Occupational Safety/Health	☐ 840 Trademark	480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV
(Excl. Veterans)	☐ 340 Marine Product ☐ 370 Other Fraud	690 Other		810 Selective Service
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability 🗍 371 Truth in Lending		SOCIAL SECURITY	☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/
of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 380 Other Personal ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Property Damage	710 Fair Labor Standards Act	☐ 861 HIA (1395ff) ☐ 862 Black Lung (923)	Exchange 875 Customer Challenge
190 Other Contract	Product Liability 385 Property Damage		☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	12 USC 3410
195 Contract Product Liability	☐ 360 Other Personal Product Liability	☐ 730 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting	864 SSID Title XVI	890 Other Statutory Actions
REAL PROPERTY	Injury CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITIO	& Disclosure Act NS 740 Railway Labor Act	☐ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS	891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act
210 Land Condemnation	☐ 441 Voting ☐ 510 Motions to Vaca	te 790 Other Labor Litigation	☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	☐ 893 Environmental Matters
220 Foreclosure	☐ 442 Employment Sentence ☐ 443 Housing/ Habeas Corpus:	791 Empl. Ret. Inc.	or Defendant)	894 Energy Allocation Act 895 Freedom of Information
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land	Accommodations Habeas Corpus:	Security Act	26 USC 7609	895 Freedom of Information Act
245 Tort Product Liability	☐ 444 Welfare ☐ 535 Death Penalty	ł		900Appeal of Fee Determination
290 All Other Real Property	☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - ☐ 540 Mandamus & Ot Employment ☐ 550 Civil Rights	her		Under Equal Access to Justice
	446 Amer. w/Disabilities - 555 Prison Condition	ı		☐ 950 Constitutionality of
	Other 440 Other Civil Rights		1	State Statutes
<u> </u>	an "X" in One Box Only)	_ Trans	ferred from	Appeal to District Judge from
	cinoved from	Reinstated or anoth	er district 💛 O Multidistr	ict / Magistrate
Proceeding S	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you a	Reopened (speci		Judgment
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	DN Brief description, of cause:	00 (
	Haintitt war		of glucasan	rive ad injured.
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	N DEMAND \$	CHÉCK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint: Yes No
			JUNI DEMIAND.	A 163 B 160
VIII. RELATED CASI	(See instructions): JUDGE	·	DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE	SIGNATURE OF A	TTORNEY OF RECORD		
3/3//09	Thur	yed M. 1ym	nkuns	
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	- * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	· , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, -, -, -, -	
RECEIPT #	MOUNT APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUI	OGE